




# LPSCC PUBLIC SAFETY BRIEF

## JAN/FEB 2010



### Arrests by Agency



### Arrests by Type and Agency Comparison

February 2011

| Arrest Type               | East | Portland | Difference |
|---------------------------|------|----------|------------|
| Fugitive                  | 26%  | 26%      | 0%         |
| Other                     | 24%  | 19%      | (5%)       |
| Property                  | 19%  | 23%      | 4%         |
| Person                    | 15%  | 9%       | (6%)       |
| Alcohol & Drugs           | 9%   | 8%       | (1%)       |
| DUII                      | 8%   | 6%       | (2%)       |
| Municipal Alcohol Charges | 0%   | 9%       | 9%         |


**Analysis:** Fugitive (warrant) arrests compose a quarter of all arrests uniformly throughout the county. The large number of arrests categorized as “Fugitive” may indicate that many people arrested for less serious charges have outstanding warrants. On the other hand, it suggests that reducing the number of outstanding warrants (through lower court FTA rates, for example) could significantly reduce the demand on county police agencies to service those warrants.

Municipal Alcohol Charges compose about a tenth of all arrests made by the PPB, but practically no one is arrested on those charges in East County. While the PPB has a denser urban core to patrol, this difference may also indicate variations in city codes, enforcement policies, or police patrol resources.

### Arrests by Type

#### Portland (PPB & MCSO)


Type based on primary charge. Other category includes local, vehicle, and behavioral charges.



**Analysis:** This chart shows relative percents of seven crime categories. Seasonal trends are apparent for a number of crime types: Municipal Alcohol Charges peak in summer months and Property crime peaks in winter months.

#### East County (Gresham, Troutdale, Fairview)

Type based on primary charge. Other category includes local, vehicle, and behavioral charges.



Arrest data for East County police agencies is only available from 6/2010 onward and so is displayed separate from PPB and MCSO data.

**Analysis:** All county police agencies experience a peak in DUII arrests in December, likely due to holiday season. The chart above shows relative percents, this has the effect of decreasing the percent of other arrest types.




# LPSCC PUBLIC SAFETY BRIEF

## JAN/FEB 2010



### Multnomah County Jail: Booking




**Analysis:** A line representing total county arrests has been added to this chart. Over the eight month period from 6/2010 (when total arrest data became available) Standard Bookings have ranged from 94% to 102% of arrests. Arrests are the main source of jail bookings, but a person may also be booked by court order or by a Parole/Probation Officer.


**In Transit** bookings refer to inmates who are temporarily housed at a M.C. facility on their way to another jurisdiction. **Turn Self In** bookings occur when an offender has been sentenced and ordered to return to jail at a later date, and is the coding used for the TSI weekender program in which inmates serve out their sentences on the weekends.

### Multnomah County Sheriff's Office Jail Population

#### Inmate Custody Types




#### Daily Jail Population



On September 17, 2010, the MCSO established a process whereby Dorm 3, with 57 beds, could be opened to avoid conducting Emergency Population Releases. It was not necessary to open Dorm 3 in January or February 2011.

#### Percent of Inmates with Specific Hold Types



#### February 2011 Average Daily Jail Population

|                    |         |
|--------------------|---------|
| <b>All inmates</b> | 1176    |
| Pre-Trial          | 34% 401 |
| Post-Trial         | 26% 302 |
| Non-Standard       | 1% 10   |
| Holds              | 39% 464 |

Notes: Inmates can have zero, one, or two holds. The percent total for "Percent of Inmates with Specific Hold Types" chart is greater than 100% because some inmates have two holds. The chart shows only the holds for inmates listed with custody type Hold. Inmates with custody type Pre-Trial, Post-Trial or Non Standard may have holds listed, but they are excluded from the chart. The number of US Marshal holds differs from the count reported to the federal government for the purposes of reimbursement.




# LPSCC PUBLIC SAFETY BRIEF

## NOV/DEC 2010




### Charging and Disposition of Cases

#### Multnomah County DA's Office Charging Decisions for All Cases Received



The most recent months show an increase in Unknown cases because these cases are pending review by the DA's office.

#### Misdemeanor & Violation Issuance Rates




**Analysis:** In July 2010, the District Attorney's Office made a policy change in response to budget conditions and shifted its prosecution of several lower-level charges from misdemeanor to violations. The chart above shows the decline in issuance rates of misdemeanors and the corresponding increase in issued violations. The chart shows the average number of cases issued for the eight months before and the eight months after the policy change.

#### Average Cases Issued

|         | Misdemeanors | Violations |
|---------|--------------|------------|
| Before  | 1139         | 16         |
| After   | 981          | 64         |
| Change  | -158         | 48         |
| Percent | -14%         | 295%       |

### Adult Community Supervision Caseloads



The **Specialized Caseloads** focus on specific populations of high-risk offenders, such as domestic violence and sex offenders.

The **Generic Caseload** consists of high-risk high-need clients who are not part of a specialized caseload.

The **Reduced Supervision Caseload** focuses on the lowest-risk offenders and involves minimal contact between PO and client.

The **Medium Risk Caseload**, created in November 2009, is for medium-risk clients who receive fewer client visits and less treatment and DCJ services than generic caseload clients.

#### Specialized Caseload Snapshot December 2010

| All Specialized Caseloads    | 3232    |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Sex Offender                 | 29% 941 |
| Domestic Violence            | 28% 902 |
| High Risk Drug Unit          | 15% 479 |
| Gang                         | 8% 270  |
| Mental Health                | 6% 195  |
| Gender Specific              | 6% 180  |
| Specialized Supervision Team | 3% 106  |
| African American Program     | 3% 102  |
| DUII                         | 2% 57   |

\*Data for Adult Community Supervision Caseloads are provided by the DCJ

**Disclaimer:** Agency data reproduced in this report is selected to display Multnomah County criminal justice system patterns and not individual agency workloads or performance. Unless otherwise noted, the data in this document was collected from DSS-Justice, Multnomah County's public safety data warehouse. This report is produced by staff to Multnomah County's Local Public Safety Coordinating Council (LPSCC). Questions or suggestions can be directed to [Matthew.g.okeefe@multco.us](mailto:Matthew.g.okeefe@multco.us) and [Thomas.Bode@multco.us](mailto:Thomas.Bode@multco.us).



# LPSCC PUBLIC SAFETY BRIEF


JAN/FEB 2010

Supplemental Page



## Reported Incidents: Child Abuse & Reported Runaways

**Runaways: Yearly Totals  
Ten year trend**




### Reported Runaway Incidents

**Analysis:** The chart to the left describes trends in reported Runaways, broken out by gender. The data suggest that overall reported incidents of runaway have declined since 2004. This overall trend appears to be mainly driven by a decline in runaway incidents for males between 2004 and 2008. While overall annual runaway reports for females have declined modestly since 2005, there were observed year-to-year increases in 2007 and 2009.


### Reported Runaway Incidents

**Analysis:** The Chart to the right describes the average annual variation in reported Runaways broken out by gender. This “Average Monthly Variation” chart suggests that there are consistent times of year when more runaways occur: the spring and fall. This trend is likely related to weather patterns and school calendars.

**Runaways: Average Monthly Variation (percent)  
For a ten year period**



**Reported Child Abuse  
Ten Year Trend  
(by incident date)**



### Reported Child Abuse Incidents

**Analysis:** The chart to the left describes trends in reported Child abuse, broken out by Sex abuse and all other abuse types. While reported incidents of child abuse are down significantly over the past ten years, it is unclear if this trend represents a decline in Abuse or is a reflection of declining resources allocated to track and investigate incidents of reported abuse.

There is a measurable lag in the reporting of child sex abuse; 90% of incidents are reported in 20 months. From 2001 to 2010, Sex Abuse incidents fell by 33%; Other Abuse incidents fell by 54%.