LPSCC
Youth and Gang Violence Subcommittee Meeting

Multnomah County
Local Public Safety Coordinating Council

Attendance for May 1, 2014

Attendance

Subcommittee *Attendees*

Rod Underhill, Co-Chair, District Attorney
Antoinette Edwards, Co-Chair, OYVP

Tracy Alioth, Home Forward
Kim Bernard, DCJ
Becky Bangs, DVERT
Harry Bradshaw, OYA
Gunnar Browning, OYA
Leah Bolstad, US Attorney's Office
Sharon Darcy, Pathfinders of Oregon
Becky Dominguez, Home Forward
Erin Fairchild, DCI Coordinator
Heather Ficht, Workforce Systems
Mary Geelan, Juvenile Court Improvement Coordinator
Lore Joplin, Joplin Consulting
Julia Mitchell, POIC
Joe McFerrin, POIC
Christina McMahan, DCJ
Scott Montgomery, PPB
Arthur Nakamura, PPB
Truls Neal, DCJ
Alice Perry, Latino Network
Michelle Plambeck, District #3
Roberta Phillip, Multco Office of Diversity & Equity
Carmen Rubio, Latino Network
Valerie Salazar, MCHD
Kirsten Snowden, MCDA
Rebecca Stavenjord, County Health Department
Ned Walls, Multco Sherriff's Office
Joe Walsh, Gresham Gang Prevention Policy Advisor
Pat Walsh, PPB
Joel Wendland, Troutdale Police Dept.
Eric Zimmerman, District #4

LPSCC Staff

Abbey Stamp, LPSCC Executive Director
Lauren Brown, LPSCC Staff
Christina Youssi, LPSCC Staff

Welcome, Introductions, and Agency Updates

Subcommittee Co-Chair Rod Underhill welcomed everyone to the meeting and introductions were made.

New members included Becky Bangs from the County's Domestic Violence Enhanced Response Team, Becky Dominguez from Home Forward, Heather Ficht from Workforce Systems, and Roberta Phillips from the County's Office of Diversity and Equity.

Rod Underhill announced that as of 5/1/2014, the DA's Office is revisiting using the Second Look as a plea negotiation option for certain crimes. The Second Look statute allows for revisiting BM11 crime sentences after offender has completed first half of the sentence.

Antoinette Edwards announced that on Saturday, May 3rd, there will be a visioning session with the Black Male Achievement Initiative at Self-Enhancement. If interested, contact Antoinette.

Quantitative Data Collection

Kim Bernard shared the maps showing density of calls for service for shots fired, comparing 2003-2004 with 2012-2014. These maps show shifts in the frequency and geographic focus of calls for service.

After a discussion about how to use the updated list of gangs, it was decided that the final report would include the list along with which gangs were active and their locations, if possible.

Serving Public Safety Agencies in Multnomah County

Lore Joplin noted that the report timeline includes presenting a draft report to the Subcommittee at the next meeting (June 5, 2014). The final report will be presented to the LPSCC Executive Committee on July 8th.

Qualitative Data Collection

Rebecca Stavenjord provided a qualitative data update.

Surveys

- 150 Community Resident surveys have been completed
- 100 Community Leader surveys have been completed
- 50 Youth Serving Agency surveys have been completed

The survey participants' demographics mirror those of the County. The top concerns are violence and drugs. Poverty is the top ranking root cause. The narrative responses are being analyzed.

- 50 one-on-one interviews have been completed. Another 15 have been completed with jail inmates.

LEP students have been working on the school surveys and have narrowed the questions down from 8 to 5 pages. The surveys have been distributed to all of the alternative schools. An estimated 100-300 surveys will be completed.

The electronic community surveys are still live. They will close May 16th.

Focus Groups

There will be 10 focus groups. The first one will be at Helensview on 5/2/14. The focus groups will end by the 3rd week of May.

Four questions from the assessment were posed to participants at The Youth Summit Against Violence, resulting in 200 responses from adults and youths.

Applying the OJJDP Model in Multnomah County

This document can be used for communication purposes. In was developed to respond to community inquiries regarding the purpose of the assessment. The document describes Multnomah County's approach, which consists of a public health perspective and how the model has been tailored to fit this jurisdiction. The document can be found on the Subcommittee's webpage.

Discussion & Input on Component Definitions

The Definitions of Components of an Integrated Approach was reviewed. The 4 prongs are Prevention (primary and secondary), Intervention, Suppression, and Reentry. Shared definitions will assist in organizing community resources and will provide the framework for implementation planning.

Participants discussed the definitions and provided input for revisions.

Initial Definition	**Revised Definition**	**Subcommittee's Revised Definition**
Primary Prevention • Strategies that focus on the entire population of high-crime, high-risk communities. • Programs that effectively reduce community risk factors or provide protective factors for community members.	**Primary Prevention** • Primary prevention includes coordinated, comprehensive strategies designed to address core factors that contribute to, or protect against a particular population's exposure to and/or participation in criminal and high risk behavior. • Primary prevention efforts serve to strengthen the capacity of communities to address violence as a health issue in partnership with allies from multiple sectors	**Primary Prevention** **(Community & System Level)** Strategies that: (REVISION PENDING) • Increase the community's capacity to address violence as a health issue, by collaborating with allies from multiple sectors. • Address core factors that determine a community's exposure to or participation in criminal and high risk behavior.

	of influence.	
Secondary Prevention • Strategies that focus on young people, primarily between the ages of 7-14, who are at high risk of joining gangs. • Programs that intervene with appropriate services before early problem behaviors turn into delinquency and gang involvement.	**Secondary Prevention** • Strategies that focus on targeted individuals who have multiple risk factors and are clearly demonstrating at-risk behaviors that have come to the attention of the community, schools, or law enforcement.	**Secondary Prevention (Individual and Family Level)** • Strategies that focus on individuals and families experiencing multiple risk factors, who demonstrate behaviors that have come to the attention of the community, schools, or law enforcement.
Intervention • Strategies that focus on active gang members and close associates, and involve aggressive outreach and recruitment activity. • Programs for gang-involved youth and their families that help youth make positive choices.	**Intervention** • Involving youth-serving agencies, schools, grassroots groups, faith-based organizations, law enforcement, and other juvenile/criminal justice organizations in "reaching out" to gang-impacted individuals and their families and linking them with the conventional world and needed services.	**Intervention** • Strategies that involve community-based stakeholders, law enforcement, and the juvenile/criminal justice system in connecting gang-impacted individuals, their families, and their communities with needed services.
Suppression • Strategies that focus on identifying the most dangerous and influential gang members and removing them from the community.	**Suppression** • Formal and informal social control measures, including close supervision and monitoring of gang-impacted individuals by agencies of the juvenile/criminal justice system and also by community-based agencies, schools, and grassroots groups.	**Suppression** • Strategies that involve law enforcement, the juvenile/criminal justice system, and community-based stakeholders in supervising and monitoring gang-involved individuals and interrupting gang-related criminal activities.
Reentry • Strategies that focus on serious offenders who are returning to the community after confinement. • Programs that provide appropriate services and monitoring to ensure successful integration into the community.	**Reentry** • Strategies that focus on individuals who are returning to the community after confinement, and minimize the harmful effects of offenders' time in jail and/or prison the individuals, and their families and communities.	**Reentry** • Strategies that maximize healthy reintegration into families and communities, after an individual has been in jail or prison.

9:50am—the meeting was adjourned.

NEXT MEETING –Thursday, June 5, 2014
9:00-11:00am
Location: Juvenile Justice Complex 1401 NE 68th Ave- Large Conference Room

Serving Public Safety Agencies in Multnomah County